PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PHNL031228WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/IB2004/051910	International filing date (day/month/year) 29 September 2004 (29.09.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 06 October 2003 (06.10.2003)
International Patent Classification (8t) See relevant information in Form f	h edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237	
Applicant KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRO	ONICS N.V.	

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis. 1(a).			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.			
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
	Box No. II	Priority		
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opin applicability	ion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	e international application	
4.	The International Bureau will co not, except where the applicant r date (Rule 44bis .2).	ommunicate this report to designakes an express request unde	gnated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but or Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority	
			Date of issuance of this report 10 April 2006 (10.04.2006)	
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes		ombettes	Authorized officer Idhir Britel	
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35		VILZEI IAIIU	Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 60	

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

rom ti NTERI	ne NATIONAL SEAR	CHING AUTHO	DRITY		REC'D 2 5 JAN 2	
То:				PCT	PCT	
see form PCT/ISA/220		INTERNATION (F	TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUT PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)			
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) sec	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second shee	rt)
	ant's or agent's file of			FOR FURTHER A See paragraph 2 belo		
International application No. International filing date PCT/IB2004/051910 29.09.2004		lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 06.10.2003			
	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H04N5/00, H04N7/24					
Applic	ant IINKLIJKE PHIL	IPS ELECTRO	ONICS N.V.			
2.	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTION of the population of	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; of Certain docum Certain defect Certain observation	ment of opinion with regard invention tement under Rule 43bis itations and explanations the international appractions on the internation is a liminary examination is a like other than this one for the internation of the other than this one for the other than the other th	ard to novelty, inventions. 1.1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such state of the supportion of the supplication of the suppl	Il usually be considered to be However, this does not apply e chosen IPEA has notifed the	ustrial a where
3.	International Bur will not be so co- If this opinion is, submit to the IPI months from the whichever expire For further optio	reau under Rule nsidered. as provided ab EA a written rep date of mailing es later. ns, see Form P	o 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written o ove, considered to be a ly together, where appro of Form PCT/ISA/220 or	written opinion of the	ational Searching Authority IPEA, the applicant is invited ents, before the expiration of the of 22 months from the priority	to hree
Nam	e and mailing addre	ss of the ISA:		Authorized Officer		ne Patiente

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Marzal-Abarca, X

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4613

Form (PCT/ISA/237) (Cover Sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/051910

	Box	No.	Basis of the opinion
١.	the la	angu	ard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in age in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This angi	opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following uage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search er Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With nece	rega essa	ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and by to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. ty	pe o	f material:
	Ε] a	sequence listing
] t	able(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. fo	rma	t of material:
] i	n written format
	נ	i C	in computer readable form
	c. ti	me d	of filing/furnishing:
	[contained in the international application as filed.
	ſ		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	i		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
;	3. 🗆	ha	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.
	4. Ad	ditio	nal comments:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-7,9-15

8

1-15

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

PCT/IB2004/051910

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

WO9519076, Scientific Atlanta Inc., 13-07-1995 D1:

WO03058961, Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., 17-07-2003 D2:

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent claims 1,6,7,11,12,13,14,15 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Furthermore, none of the dependent claims in the present application seems to meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT.

Independent Claims 1,6,7,11,12,13,14,15 - Novelty 2 Claim1

The document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

" A system for distributing a signal carrying a plurality of services (see D1, page 3, lines 9 - 15, page 7, lines 22-31, page 11, lines 19- 28, figure 1, elements BS1..BSn), the system comprising:

a transmitter for generating numbering information pertaining to the plurality of services, for including the numbering information into the signal, and for transmitting the signal, (see D1, page 2, line 36 - page 3, line 6, page 3, lines 18-25, page 4, lines 1-33, page 9, lines 21-34, page 11, lines 19-28, page 13, lines 1-20, page 14, lines 27-34, figures 2, 3, elements 46,48, figure 7)

a receiver for receiving the signal, for retrieving the numbering information from the signal, and for numbering services of the plurality of services in dependence of the numbering information (see D1, page 3, line 25 - page 4, line 20, page 9, lines 22-34, page 11, lines 19-28, page 13, lines 21-35, page 17, lines 3-21, page 21, lines 21-32, figure 6, element 92, figure 7)."

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING **AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)**

PCT/IB2004/051910

Consequently, the subject-matter defined in Claim 1 is therefore known from D1, and thus it is not new.

Claim 6

Claim 6 refers to a transmitter device as defined in the subject-matter of Claim 1. The same reasoning as for Claim 1 thus applies therefore to the subject-matter of the independent Claim 6, which therefore is also considered as not new.

Claim 7

Claim 7 refers to a receiver device as defined in the subject-matter of Claim 1. The same reasoning as for Claim 1 thus applies therefore to the subject-matter of the independent Claim 7, which therefore is also considered as not new.

Claim 11

Claim 11 refers to a signal as defined in the subject-matter of Claim 1. The same reasoning as for Claim 11 thus applies therefore to the subject-matter of the independent Claim 11, which therefore is also considered as not new.

Claim 12

The subject-matter of Claim 12, in the category of computer program product, is as well disclosed by D1. See D1, page 3, line 25 - page 4, line 20, page 9, lines 22-34, page 11, lines 19-28, page 13, lines 21-35, page 17, lines 3-21, page 21, lines 21-32, figure 6, element 92, figure 7.

Claim 13

The subject-matter of Claim 13, in the category of method, corresponds to the subject-matter of Claim 1, in the category of system, and same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to Claim 13.

Claim 14

The subject-matter of Claim 14, in the category of method, corresponds to the subject-matter of Claim 6, in the category of device, and same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to Claim 14.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/IB2004/051910

Claim 15

The subject-matter of Claim 15, in the category of method, corresponds to the subject-matter of Claim 7, in the category of system, and same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to Claim 15.

3 Dependent Claims 2-5,8-10 - Novelty and inventive step The subject-matter defined in dependent Claims 2-5,9-10 is also known from available Prior Art.

For Claims 2-5, dependent on Claim 1, see D1, page 2, line 32 - page 3, line 6, page 3, lines 18-25, page 4, lines 1-33, page 9, lines 21-34, page 11, lines 19-28, page 13, lines 1-35, page 14, lines 27-34, figures 2, 3, elements 46,48, figure 7.

For Claims 9-10, dependent on Claim 7, see D1, page 11, lines 19-28, page 13, lines 21-35, page 21, lines 21-32, figure 2, 3, elements 46, 48.

Consequently, the subject-matter of the mentioned claims 2-5 and 9-10 is known from D1 and thus it is not new.

Dependent Claim 8 - Inventive step

Claim 8, dependent on Claim 7, is directed to solve the problem of how to arrange the numbering of the services while providing the user with automatic best choices among the available services. A person working with the arrangements of D1 would consider as highly beneficial to feature them with such automatic arrangement. In this respect he would not disregard the teachings of D2, arriving in an obvious manner to the subject-matter of the mentioned claim.

Consequently, the subject-matter of Claim 15 lacks of inventive step.

Therefore the mentioned dependent Claims 2-5, 8-10 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty/inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).